

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,268	02/19/2002		Carolyn M. McNeeley	29939/30057 6115	
75	7590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER		
Scott D. Ande	rson		TRAN, KHOA H		
FOLEY & LAR	DNER				
Firstar Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
777 East Wisco	nsin Av	renue	3634		
Milwaukee, W	I 5320	2-5367			

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·• · · · ·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/079,268	MCNEELEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khoa Tran	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIDE 2 MONTH	S) EDOM					
<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Se</u>	eptember 2003						
,	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	ice except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-18,20-24 and 29-35</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,9,11-14,16-18 and 20-23</u> is/are i	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,9,11-14,16-18 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>10,15,24 and 29-35</u> is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathbb R$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application)					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/079,268

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8, 10, 14, 15, 24, and 29-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claims 8, 10, 14, 15, and 24, the recitation of "or" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear which one to the two nonequivalent alternatives the applicant is setting forth.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 16-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Der Meer in view of Slingerland Jr. Van Der Meer discloses a turntable comprising a base (11) having a first diameter, a rotatably top (25) coupled to the base having a second diameter, the second diameter is less than the first diameter (see Figure 5), a plurality of ball bearings (18) disposed between the base and the top, the base includes a peripheral groove (19) disposed between the ball bearings and an exterior edge of the base, wherein the groove being configured to catch debris which falls between the top and the base (see lines 76-80). Van Der Meer does not teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/079,268

Art Unit: 3634

ده در

rotating member disposed between the base and ball bearings. However, Slingerland, Jr. teaches a rotating member (15 and 16) disposed between the base and ball bearings (see Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the turntable base of Van Der Meer with the provision of rotating members as taught by Slingerland, Jr. in order to have a free rotation about an axis. With respect to claims 2-4 and 17, it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice as determined through routine experimentation and optimization for one of ordinary skill in the art to routinely dimension an offset of the first dimension with the second dimension to be about 1/32, 1/16 or ½ inch for a particular application thus producing no new and unexpected results.

Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Der Meer in view of Slingerland Jr. as applied to claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 16-18, and 20 above and further in view of Beaster et al. Beaster et al. teach the rotating member (18) disposed on top of ball bearings having hooks thereon the bottom. See Figure 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the rotating member of Van Der Meer in view of Slingerland Jr. with hooks as taught by Beaster et al. in order to secure the top portion of the rotating member with the lower portion of the rotating member.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Der Meer in view of Slingerland Jr. as applied to claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 16-18, and 20 above and further in view of Humphrey et al. Humphrey et al. teach a turntable

Application/Control Number: 10/079,268

Art Unit: 3634

--

having a secondary storage surface of a cap (16) mounted by brackets (86) on top of the turntable (see Figures 3 and 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the top of Van Der Meer to include a secondary storage surface as taught by Humphrey et al. in order to have more supporting surfaces for storage.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 24 and 29-35 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 10, 15, 24, and 29-35 are allowed over the prior art of record because none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests a turntable possessing the entire combination of features specified by the claims. In particular, there is no teaching or suggestion of the top having projections that are configured to releasably engage with apertures in the rotating member.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Page 5

### Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11-14, 16-18, and 20-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-3437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:30 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for this Group before a final Office action is (703) 872-9326 and after a final Office action is (703) 872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Khoa Tran

Khoa Tran December 12, 2003

> DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600